ELOURA HOLDINGS PTY LTD

SEPTEMBER 2018

## GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

176-184 GEORGE STREET, CONCORD WEST NSW





## Question today Imagine tomorrow Create for the future

#### 176-184 George Street, Concord West Geotechnical Investigation Report

Eloura Holdings

WSP Level 27, 680 George Street Sydney NSW 2000 GPO Box 5394 Sydney NSW 2001

Tel: +61 2 9272 5100 Fax: +61 2 9272 5101 wsp.com

REV	DATE	DETAILS	
A	23/08/2018	Draft Issue for Comments	
В	14/09/2018	Final Issue	

	NAME	DATE	SIGNATURE
Prepared by:	Genni Wetherell	07/09/2018	Guetneett
Reviewed by:	Aaron Rastall	07/09/2018	Startary
Approved by:	Rob Kingsland	13/09/2018	Q. Q. O

This document may contain confidential and legally privileged information, neither of which are intended to be waived, and must be used only for its intended purpose. Any unauthorised copying, dissemination or use in any form or by any means other than by the addressee, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this document in error or by any means other than as authorised addressee, please notify us immediately and we will arrange for its return to us.

# wsp

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	4
1.1	BACKGROUND	4
1.2	SCOPE OF WORKS	4
1.3	PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT	4
2	SITE CHARACTERISATION	.5
2.1	SITE DESCRIPTION	5
2.2	SOIL LANDSCAPE	5
2.3	REGIONAL GEOLOGY	5
2.4	ACID SULFATE SOILS	5
3	SITE INVESTIGATION METHODOLOGY	.6
3.1	PLANNING AND APPROVALS	6
3.2	SERVICES SEARCH	6
3.3	SITE INVESTIGATION	6
3.4	GROUNDWATER MONITORING	7
4	INVESTIGATION RESULTS	8
4.1	BOREHOLES	8
4.2	SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	0
		0
4.3	GEOTECHNICAL MODEL	
	GEOTECHNICAL MODEL GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING	9
<b>4.3</b> <b>4.4</b> 4.4.1	GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING	.9 .9
4.3 4.4	GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING	.9 .9
<b>4.3</b> <b>4.4</b> 4.4.1 4.4.2	GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING LABORATORY AND TESTING DETAILS MOISTURE CONTENT AND ATTERBERG LIMITS	.9 .9 .9
<b>4.3</b> <b>4.4</b> 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3	GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING LABORATORY AND TESTING DETAILS MOISTURE CONTENT AND ATTERBERG LIMITS SOIL AGGRESSIVITY	.9 .9 .9 10
<b>4.3</b> <b>4.4</b> 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.4.4	GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING. LABORATORY AND TESTING DETAILS. MOISTURE CONTENT AND ATTERBERG LIMITS. SOIL AGGRESSIVITY	.9 .9 10 11
4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.4.4 4.5	GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING LABORATORY AND TESTING DETAILS MOISTURE CONTENT AND ATTERBERG LIMITS	.9 .9 10 11 11
4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.4.4 4.5 5	GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING	.9 .9 10 11 12 13 13
4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.4.4 4.5 5 5.1 5.1.1 5.1.2	GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING.         LABORATORY AND TESTING DETAILS.         MOISTURE CONTENT AND ATTERBERG LIMITS.         SOIL AGGRESSIVITY         UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH.         1         GROUNDWATER MONITORING         1         DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS         1         RECOMMENDED GEOTECHNICAL PARAMETERS         1         SITE CLASSIFICATION TO AS2870         1         SOIL AGGRESSIVITY	.9 .9 10 11 12 13 13
4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.4.4 4.5 5 5.1 5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3	GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING.         LABORATORY AND TESTING DETAILS.         MOISTURE CONTENT AND ATTERBERG LIMITS.         SOIL AGGRESSIVITY.         UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH.         GROUNDWATER MONITORING         DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS         SITE CLASSIFICATION TO AS2870         SOIL AGGRESSIVITY	.9 .9 10 11 12 13 13 13 13

# vsp

6	LIMITATIONS	16
6.1	SCOPE OF SERVICES	16
6.2	RELIANCE ON DATA	16
6.3	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION	16
6.4	THIS GEOTECHNICAL REPORT IS BASED ON PROJECT-SPECIFIC FACTORS	16
6.5	SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS ARE TIME DEPENDENT	17
6.6	AVOID MISINTERPRETATION	17
6.7	BORE/PROFILE LOGS SHOULD NOT BE SEPARATED FROM THE ENGINEERING REPORT	17
6.8	GEOTECHNICAL INVOLVEMENT DURING CONSTRUCTION	17
7	REFERENCES	18

#### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 4.1	GEOTECHNICAL BOREHOLE SUMMARY	8
TABLE 4.2 G	ENERALISED GROUND PROFILE AT EACH BOREHOLE	8
TABLE 4.3	SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TESTING	9
TABLE 4.4	SUMMARY OF MOISTURE CONTENT AND ATTERBERG LIMIT TEST RESULTS	9
TABLE 4.5	SUMMARY OF SOIL AGGRESSIVITY RESULTS	10
TABLE 4.6	SUMMARY OF UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH	11
TABLE 4.7 S	UMMARY OF GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS	11
TABLE 5.1	RECOMMENDED GEOTECHNICAL PARAMETERS FOR SHALLOW FOUNDATIONS AND RETAINING STRUCTURES	12
TABLE 5.2	EXPOSURE CLASSIFICATION FOR STEEL AND CONCRETE PILES	13
TABLE 5.3	RECOMMENDED TEMPORARY BATTER SLOPE GRADES (FOR BATTERS LESS THAN 3 M DEEP)	14

#### LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 4-1	ATTERBERG LIMIT RESULTS	10

# vsp

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN APPENDIX B GEOLOGICAL MAP APPENDIX C BOREHOLE LOGS APPENDIX D GEOTECHNICAL LONG SECTION APPENDIX E LABORATORY RESULTS

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

WSP Australia Pty Ltd (WSP) has been engaged by Eloura Holdings to conduct a geotechnical investigation for a proposed residential development planned for the site of 176-184 George Street, Concord West, NSW

The site is currently occupied by warehouse industrial buildings, loading docks and car parking areas. We understand the site is planned to be rezoned for R3 high density residential purposes. The development requires geotechnical information and recommendations for design.

All geotechnical works have been carried out in general accordance with the WSP proposal (PP108978-CLM-LTR-001 Rev C).

### 1.2 SCOPE OF WORKS

The scope of works comprised the following:

- Non-intrusive services search at the proposed geotechnical / pavement location
- Geotechnical field investigation comprising three (3) cored / augured boreholes, at locations presented in Figure 1, Appendix A
- Installation of three (3) standpipe piezometers
- Geotechnical laboratory testing of selected soil samples recovered
- Interpretative geotechnical reporting

## 1.3 PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

This Geotechnical Investigation Report (GIR) has been prepared to collate and interpret relevant geotechnical design information for the site. The GIR includes a review of regional geology, site topography and geotechnical information derived from field and laboratory testing, provision of preliminary geotechnical design parameters for inclusion into subsequent design phases, and advice on geotechnical issues relevant to the proposed development of the site.

The objective of the GIR was to provide comments and recommendations on the following:

- Subsurface conditions, soil properties and depths across the site
- Rock properties and depths across the site
- Groundwater levels at the time of investigations (if encountered)
- Geotechnical design parameters for retaining walls and foundations
- Foundation and excavation conditions, excavatability of material
- Stability of batter slopes and shoring systems options/requirements
- Foundation design

The geotechnical investigation was undertaken concurrently with a Contamination Investigation, with the results and recommendations presented in Detailed Site Investigation and Remediation Plan, (WSP, 2018).

## 2 SITE CHARACTERISATION

## 2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION

The topography is characterised by a gentle cross slope across the project site, in a general east-west direction. The western extent of the project site has an elevation of approximately 4 m AHD along the boundary of the Powells Creek Reserve, whilst the eastern edge of the site along George Street has an elevation of approximately 5-6 m AHD.

### 2.2 SOIL LANDSCAPE

The 1:100,000 scale Sydney Soils Landscape Sheet (9130) indicates that the soils in the project area belong to the Blacktown (bt) soil landscape group. These soils are derived from weathering of the Wianamatta Group rocks and comprise shallow to moderately deep, hard setting clay loam to silty clay loam soils with mottled texture, red and brown podzolic soils on crests grading to yellow podzolic soils on lower slopes and in drainage lines. The Blacktown landscape soils are typically moderately reactive, have poor soil drainage and low fertility.

### 2.3 REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The Sydney 1:100,000 scale Geological Sheet (9030) indicates near surface geology within the project site is underlain by Ashfield Shale (Rwa) of the Wianamatta Group, comprising siltstone, claystone fine grained sandstones and finely interlaminated beds of siltstone and sandstone.

The map also indicates man-made fill comprising dredged soils, demolition rubble and waste materials overlies the Ashfield Shale along the western part of the project site.

### 2.4 ACID SULFATE SOILS

Acid sulfate soils (ASS) are generally likely to be present in marine and estuarine sediments of the recent (Holocene) geological age, in soils generally less than five meters above mean sea level and within a marine or estuarine setting. These sediments show traces of sulfate, which upon exposure to air, can oxidise to form sulfuric acid. The acid reacts with clay minerals and dissolves metal particles in the soil such as iron and aluminium. The resulting acid and dissolved metals that leach from the soil are often toxic to flora and fauna. Advice on acid sulfate soils can be found in contamination investigation report (WSP, 2018).

## 3 SITE INVESTIGATION METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 PLANNING AND APPROVALS

Project specific plans were produced prior to the start of the site investigation. These included a Health, Environment and Safety Plan (HESP) and a Ground Penetration Permit (GPP) for individual hole locations.

The HESP identifies key site specific hazards and corresponding controls measures to be adopted to safely complete the scope of works.

The GPP provides information pertaining to the type and location of services proximal to proposed investigation locations, specifies minimum offset distances based on those stipulated by asset owners, provides a range of control measures, and prompts a site assessment of all potential visible indicators of services. Information was gathered from Dial Before You Dig (DBYD) plans.

#### 3.2 SERVICES SEARCH

The proposed locations were reviewed against the DBYD and allowing potential conflicts with existing utilities to be identified, and relocation of investigation boreholes where required. An accredited service locator, Utility Locating Services was subcontracted to identify buried services for each borehole location using a combination of conventional equipment and ground penetrating radar (GPR). None of the proposed geotechnical investigation locations were moved due to buried services. Locations were repositioned as required to facilitate more suitable placement of the drilling rig inside the warehouse at the site location.

#### 3.3 SITE INVESTIGATION

To assess subsurface conditions across the site, a total of three boreholes were drilled (by Stratacore Drilling) using a Comacchio Geo205 track mounted drilling rig. BH30 and BH44 were hand augered to 1.5 m, then auger drilled using continuous flight augers, and extended into bedrock using NMLC coring equipment to a depth of 10 m. A push tube was used to 2.2 m depth in BH35, after which the same method of auger and NMLC drilling was used to reach Target Depth.

So as to obtain an understanding of the ground conditions across the site, boreholes were positioned in the North Eastern, Central Eastern and South Western areas of the site. The location of each investigation is presented in Figure 1 in Appendix A.

Boreholes were logged by a geotechnical engineer who nominated sampling depths and collected disturbed samples of soil materials for laboratory testing. Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) testing was carried out in each borehole at 1.5 m intervals to assess the consistency or relative density of the soils. Rock core was logged, photographed, and point load tested (Is50) to assess strength parameters.

Piezometers were installed in all three geotechnical boreholes to assess groundwater levels and inflow rates. An additional 19 soil investigation boreholes were drilled to depths of up to 3 m as part of the contamination investigation. For well construction details refer to the Detailed Site Investigation and Remediation Plan, (WSP, 2018).

## 3.4 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

All three geotechnical bores drilled as part of the geotechnical investigation were converted into groundwater monitoring bores. The groundwater monitoring bores are screened in the residual soil and Ashfield Shale. There are also two existing groundwater wells near the site.

## 4 INVESTIGATION RESULTS

## 4.1 BOREHOLES

A summary of the boreholes is presented in Table 4.1. Engineering logs are presented in Appendix C along with core photos and explanatory notes. Borehole coordinates have been identified with a combination of hand held GPS and site plans and are accurate to  $\pm 5$  m. Reduced levels have been approximated using handheld GPS and available GIS data and is accurate to  $\pm 10$  m.

ID	EASTING		REDUCED LEVEL	TERMINATION DEPTH (M)
	(MGA 94)	(MGA 94)	(m AHD)	
BH30	322670	6252761	4	10
BH44	322704	6252929	4	10
BH35	322702	6252831	4	10

Table 4.1 Geotechnical borehole summary

### 4.2 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Subsurface conditions encountered for the site investigation were generally consistent with geology and soil landscape maps of the area, and uniform across the site. A summary of the ground conditions encountered is presented in Table 4.2. Reference to the individual logging sheets should be made for a detailed description of the soil conditions encountered during the site investigation.

Table 4.2	Generalised	around	profile at	each borehole
	001101010000	9.00	p. oo	00.011 10 01 01 10 10

INVESTIGATION ID	MATERIAL	DEPTH BELOW EXISTING GROUND SURFACE (m)	THICKNESS (m)
BH30	Pavement: Concrete	0.0	0.14
	Fill: Gravelly Sand/Gravelly Clayey Sand, fine to medium grained, low plasticity, trace plastics and glass and fabric	0.14	2.96
	Weathered Rock: Shale, very low to medium strength	3.1	2.06
	Bedrock: Shale, medium to very high strength	5.16	Full thickness not proven
BH44	Pavement: Concrete	0.0	0.25
	Fill: Sandy/Gravelly Clay, low plasticity, fine to coarse grained gravel.	0.25	2.75
	Alluvial: Silty Clay, medium to high plasticity, trace fine to medium grained gravel, soft.	3.0	0.5
	Residual: Silty clay, high plasticity, Stiff to Very Stiff	3.5	1.2
	Weathered Rock: Shale, very low to medium strength	4.7	1.55

INVESTIGATION ID	MATERIAL	DEPTH BELOW EXISTING GROUND SURFACE (m)	THICKNESS (m)
	Bedrock: Shale, medium to very high strength	6.25	Full thickness not proven
BH35	Pavement: Concrete	0.0	0.32
	Residual: Silty clay, high plasticity, Stiff to Very Stiff	0.32	2.28
	Weathered Rock: Shale, very low to medium strength2.6		3.40
	Bedrock: Shale, medium to very high strength	6.0	Full thickness not proven

#### 4.3 GEOTECHNICAL MODEL

A geotechnical long section outlining inferred subsurface conditions across the project site based on lithological information from three geotechnical boreholes and contamination boreholes is presented in Appendix D. Detailed geotechnical and contamination borehole logs can be found in Appendix C, and Contamination investigation report, WSP (2018), respectively. Interpretation of geological units are in accordance with the geological descriptions summarised in Section 4.2.

## 4.4 GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING

#### 4.4.1 LABORATORY AND TESTING DETAILS

Selected soil samples collected during the investigation were tested at a NATA accredited laboratory (Resource Laboratories Pty Ltd) for the scheduled tests listed in Table 4.3.

Laboratory test certificates/ reports are presented in Appendix E.

Table 4.3Summary of laboratory testing

LABORATORY TEST	TEST METHOD	QUANTITY
Field Moisture Content	AS1289.1.1, 2.1.1	3
Atterberg Limits	AS1289.1.1, 2.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.2.1, 3.3.1.3.4.1	3
Soil Aggressivity	AS 1289.1.1, EA002, ED040S, ED045G	3
Unconfirmed Compressive Strength	AS4133.1.1.1, 4.2.2	3

#### 4.4.2 MOISTURE CONTENT AND ATTERBERG LIMITS

A total of three (3) samples collected from investigations were tested for moisture content and Atterberg limits. The results are summarised in Table 4.4.

INVESTIGATION ID	SAMPLE DEPTH (m)	MATERIAL	FIELD MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)
BH44	3.0-3.45	Silty CLAY	27.3	48	20	28

 Table 4.4
 Summary of moisture content and Atterberg limit test results

INVESTIGATION ID	SAMPLE DEPTH (m)	MATERIAL	FIELD MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)
BH44	3.5-4.0	Silty CLAY	19.3	50	19	31
BH35	1.0-1.8	Silty CLAY	27.9	59	22	37

The tabulated results are shown on the plasticity chart (Atterberg limit chart) in Figure 4-1. The results indicate that the encountered material can be generally classified as medium to high plasticity.



Figure 4-1 Atterberg limit results

#### 4.4.3 SOIL AGGRESSIVITY

Laboratory testing for soil aggressivity was carried out on three (3) samples. The test results are presented in Table 4.5.

INVESTIGATION	SAMPLE DEPTH (m)	РН	CHLORIDE – CI (mg/kg)	SULFATE – SO₄ (mg/kg)	CONDUCTIVITY (µS/CM)
BH30	4.5-5.0	8.7	310	110	194
BH44	3.0-3.45	5.2	160	180	174
BH35	1.0-1.8	5.8	<50	60	64

 Table 4.5
 Summary of soil aggressivity results

#### 4.4.4 UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH

Laboratory testing for Unconfined Compressive Strength was carried out in three (3) samples. The test results are presented in Table 4.6.

 Table 4.6
 Summary of unconfined compressive strength

INVESTIGATION ID	DEPTH	ROCK TYPE	UCS (MPa)
BH30	8.65-8.94	SHALE	47
BH44	6.65-6.96	SHALE	40
BH35	9.00-9.23	SHALE	27

#### 4.5 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

Groundwater levels in all wells were measured 26/07/2018, approximately one week after installation, and are listed in Table 4.7.

Table 4.7 Summary of groundwater observations

ID	TERMINATION DEPTH (MBGL)	GROUNDWATER LEVEL (MBGL)
BH35	10	5.615
BH44	10	4.94
BH30	10	5.095
BH34	8	Dry
Ex (Existing) 1	6.68	4.29
Ex (Existing) 2	7.20	Dry

## 5 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 5.1 RECOMMENDED GEOTECHNICAL PARAMETERS

Based on the results of the geotechnical investigation and our engineering experience, the geotechnical parameters recommended for the design of the shallow foundations and retaining structures are provided in Table 5.1.

Unit Description	Consistency/Strength	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	C <sub>u</sub> (kPa) <sup>3</sup>	c' (kPa) <sup>3</sup>	ф' <sup>(3)</sup>	V <sup>, (3)</sup>	E' (MPa) <sup>3</sup>	Active Earth Pressure (Ka)	Passive Earth Pressure (Kp)	At rest Earth Pressure (Ko)	Allowable Bearing capacity (kPa)	Allowable Horizontal Bearing Capacity (kPa)
Existing Fill	-	18	-	0-2	26-28	0.3	8-12	0.39	2.6	0.56	-	-
Alluvial Soil	Soft to Firm	17	25	2	25	0.35	5	0.41	2.46	0.58	-	-
Residual Clay	Stiff	18	75	4	27	0.3	15	0.38	2.66	0.55	100	50
	Very Stiff	19	100	5	28	0.3	30	0.36	2.77	0.53	150	75
	Hard	20	200	5	30	0.3	40	0.33	3	0.50	200	100
Rock	Extremely Low to very low strength	22	300	10	30	0.3	80	0.33	3	1	400 to 1000	200 to 500
	Medium to high	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3500	-
	High to very high	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5000	-

 Table 5.1
 Recommended geotechnical parameters for shallow foundations and retaining structures

Notes: Cu= undrained shear strength; c'= drained friction angle;  $\phi$ '= drained friction angle; E'= drained Young's modulus; v'= drained Poisson's ratio.

<sup>1.</sup> – Refer to the nearest borehole for detailed information. Borehole logs are included in Appendix C.

<sup>2.</sup> – Parameters provided are averaged and indicative for this unit, which varies in material and properties, and with depth.

<sup>3.</sup> – Geotechnical laboratory testing was not undertaken on the soil samples to obtain geotechnical parameters.

#### 5.1.1 SITE CLASSIFICATION TO AS2870

Due to the extensive uncontrolled fill across the site, the site will be classified as Class P in accordance with AS2870. However, it is assumed that the designed basement level will be below the level of uncontrolled fill materials encountered across the project site (3 m).

Where footings are constructed fully on a natural soil profile, the site classification in accordance with AS2870 would be Class H1 with an estimated characteristic surface movement ( $Y_s$ ) of 40 to 60 mm.

#### 5.1.2 SOIL AGGRESSIVITY

Laboratory test results for soil aggressivity were compared with the exposure classifications presented in Australian Standard AS2159-2009 (Piling – Design and Installation). The exposure classifications for steel and concrete piles are presented in Table 5.2.

BOREHOLE	SAMPLE DEPTH (m)	STEEL PILES – EXPOSURE CLASSIFICATION	CONCRETE PILES - EXPOSURE CLASSIFICATION
BH30	4.5-5.0	Non-aggressive	Non-aggressive
BH35	3.0-3.45	Non-aggressive	Non-aggressive
BH44	1.0-1.8	Non-aggressive	Mild

Table 5.2 Exposure classification for steel and concrete piles

#### 5.1.3 SEISMICITY

A hazard factor (Z) of 0.08 would be considered appropriate for the project site in accordance with Australian Standards AS 1170.4-2007 Structural design actions – Part 4: Earthquake actions in Australia. The site sub-soil class would be assigned Class  $C_e$ .

#### 5.2 CONSTRUCTABILITY

#### 5.2.1 EXCAVATION

We understand that the development of the site will involve the excavation of a basement car park. With reference to the borehole logs presented in Appendix C and the summary of ground conditions presented in Section 4.2, the subsurface conditions likely to be encountered across the project site will comprise fill materials and residual soils overlying weathered shale. The upper layers of weathered rock are highly fractured, however, the deeper, fresher rock has few defects. This transition was observed between approximately 4-6 mbgl.

Weathered rock materials encountered above this level should be able to be excavated using conventional earthmoving equipment such as backhoes and tracked excavators. It is possible that localised zones of higher strength/less fractured rock could be encountered above this level whereby excavators fitted with pneumatic rock hammers or ripping tines may be required. The deeper fresher rock below the transition noted above is also likely to require pneumatic rock hammers and or ripping tines for excavation.

Vibration levels should be managed in accordance with NSW EPA guidelines. Assessment of the volume of bulk excavation in rock, equipment required and vibrations induced associated with such activities can be undertaken following detailed design of the proposed development.

It should be noted that any off-site disposal of spoil requires assessment for re-use or classification in accordance with current Waste Classification Guidelines. Refer to the Phase 2 Contamination Investigation report (WSP, 2018) for more information.

#### 5.2.2 TEMPORARY BATTERS AND SHORING

In order to ensure the stability of excavated faces and safety of workers during construction excavation, a suitable batter/stepped benching or near vertical trenching with temporary supports must be considered.

Based on the borehole information and likely materials to be encountered within the top 3.0 m, recommended temporary better angles are provided in Table 5.3. Temporary batters could also be used below the groundwater table but only in conjunction with a dewatering programme to temporarily lower the water level to increase stability which may not be feasible in the medium to long term.

It should be noted using batter slopes for temporary shoring of uncontrolled fill and alluvial soils is inappropriate and these materials should be removed or supported.

Table C O	Deserves and deal terms		www.slas./faulasttanal	
Table 5.3	Recommended temp	orary baπer slope	grades (for batters I	ess than 3 m deep)

MATERIAL	TEMPORARY BATTER SLOPE
Residual Soils and extremely low to very low strength rock	1 (Vertical): 1 (Horizontal)
Low strength rock or better	2 (Vertical): 1 (Horizontal)

In lieu of battering the excavation to the recommended angle, use of a temporary shoring system will be required. Temporary shoring options for temporary support of vertical excavations include anchored soldier piles with sprayed concrete infill panels or contiguous piling. Anchoring would likely be required, deepening on excavation depth, as a cantilever system may not be sufficiently rigid to avoid excessive surface (lateral) deflections. Surcharge loads, particularly due to traffic and machinery, must be considered in the design or temporary shoring. All temporary excavations should be inspected by a Geotechnical Engineer prior to any personnel working beneath them, to assess the risk of instability and to provide advice on any support measures required.

#### 5.2.3 FOUNDING MATERIALS AND FOUNDATION OPTION

Depending on basement design levels, it is anticipated that the founding materials will be weathered shale of varying strength, with associated allowable bearing pressures as presented in Table 5.1.

To determine the strength of the rock, a total of 27 point load index (PLI) tests were carried out (axial and diametrical) and 3 uniaxial compressive strength (UCS) tests were performed on the rock cores retrieved from the boreholes. Assessment was performed to correlate the PLI and UCS; the plot is presented in Figure 5-1. A multiplier of 20 has been adopted for the rock strength classifications for the shale of medium strength or better encountered at the site, which is at the lower bound of expected variability.



Figure 5-1 Correlation between point load index and uniaxial compressive strength

Given the presence of relatively shallow rock, likely footing systems would comprise strip or pad footings founded on medium strength rock or better. Footings founded on this material using the recommended allowable capacities should have load induced settlements of no greater than 1.0% of the footing width.

It is recommended that foundations be inspected by an experienced Geotechnical Engineer to confirm a suitable foundation treatment or to asses that anticipated bearing capacity of a foundation material has been achieved (where required). The allowable bearing pressure values recommended in Section 5.1 above assume the bearing surfaces are clean and free from spoil and other soft and loose materials, and free from water.

The walls and slab will need to be designed to resist hydrostatic pressure both globally (i.e. uplift of the entire building) and locally (i.e. pressure between column/slab supports). Sections of the building may have insufficient deadweight to resist buoyancy uplift forces, so alternatively an under-floor drainage system would need to be provided. Such a drainage system could comprise a herringbone-type trench arrangement with each trench gravel filled and drained to sump points for pumping.

## 6 LIMITATIONS

## 6.1 SCOPE OF SERVICES

This geotechnical site assessment report (the report) has been prepared in accordance with the scope of services set out in the contract, or as otherwise agreed, between the client and WSP (scope of services). In some circumstances the scope of services may have been limited by a range of factors such as time, budget, access and/or site disturbance constraints.

### 6.2 RELIANCE ON DATA

In preparing the report, WSP has relied upon data, surveys, analyses, designs, plans and other information provided by the client and other individuals and organisations, most of which are referred to in the report (the data). Except as otherwise stated in the report, WSP has not verified the accuracy or completeness of the data. To the extent that the statements, opinions, facts, information, conclusions and/or recommendations in the report (conclusions) are based in whole or part on the data, those conclusions are contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of the data. WSP will not be liable in relation to incorrect conclusions should any data, information or condition be incorrect or have been concealed, withheld, misrepresented or otherwise not fully disclosed to WSP.

### 6.3 GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION

Geotechnical engineering is based extensively on judgment and opinion. It is far less exact than other engineering disciplines. Geotechnical engineering reports are prepared to meet the specific needs of individuals. A report prepared for a consulting civil engineer may not be adequate for a construction contractor or even some other consulting civil engineer. This report was prepared expressly for the client and expressly for purposes indicated by the client or his representative. Use by any other persons for any purpose, or by the client for a different purpose, might result in problems. The client should not use this report for other than its intended purpose without seeking additional geotechnical advice.

## 6.4 THIS GEOTECHNICAL REPORT IS BASED ON PROJECT-SPECIFIC FACTORS

This geotechnical engineering report is based on a subsurface investigation which was designed for project-specification factors, including the nature of any development, its size and configuration, the location of any development on the site and its orientation, and the location of access roads and parking areas. Unless further geotechnical advice is obtained this geotechnical engineering report cannot be used:

- When the nature of any proposed development is changed
- When the size, configuration location or orientation of any proposed development is modified
- This geotechnical engineering report cannot be applied to an adjacent site
- The limitations of site investigation

In making an assessment of a site from a limited number of boreholes or test pits there is the possibility that variations may occur between test locations. Site exploration identifies specific subsurface conditions only at those points from which samples have been taken. The risk that variations will not be detected can be reduced by increasing the frequency of test locations; however this often does not result in any overall cost savings for the project. The investigation program undertaken is a professional estimate of the scope of investigation required to provide a general profile of the subsurface

conditions. The data derived from the site investigation program and subsequent laboratory testing are extrapolated across the site to form an inferred geological model and an engineering opinion is rendered about overall subsurface conditions and their likely behaviour with regard to the proposed development. Despite investigation the actual conditions at the site might differ from those inferred to exist, since no subsurface exploration program, no matter how comprehensive, can reveal all subsurface details and anomalies.

The borehole logs are the subjective interpretation of subsurface conditions at a particular location, made by trained personnel. The interpretation may be limited by the method of investigation, and cannot always be definitive. For example, inspection of an excavation or test pit allows a greater area of the subsurface profile to be inspected than borehole investigation, however, such methods are limited by depth and site disturbance restrictions. In borehole investigation, the actual interface between materials may be more gradual or abrupt than a report indicates.

#### 6.5 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS ARE TIME DEPENDENT

Subsurface conditions may be modified by changing natural forces or man-made influences. A geotechnical engineering report is based on conditions which existed at the time of subsurface exploration.

Construction operations at or adjacent to the site, and natural events such as floods, or groundwater fluctuations, may also affect subsurface conditions, and thus the continuing adequacy of a geotechnical report. The geotechnical engineer should be kept appraised of any such events, and should be consulted to determine if additional tests are necessary.

### 6.6 AVOID MISINTERPRETATION

A geotechnical engineer should be retained to work with other appropriate design professionals explaining relevant geotechnical findings and in reviewing the adequacy of their plans and specifications relative to geotechnical issues.

## 6.7 BORE/PROFILE LOGS SHOULD NOT BE SEPARATED FROM THE ENGINEERING REPORT

Final bore/profile logs are developed by geotechnical engineers based upon their interpretation of field logs and laboratory evaluation of field samples. Customarily, only the final bore/profile logs are included in geotechnical engineering reports. These logs should not under any circumstances be redrawn for inclusion in architectural or other design drawings. To minimise the likelihood of bore/profile log misinterpretation, contractors should be given access to the complete geotechnical engineering report prepared or authorised for their use. Providing the best available information to contractors helps prevent costly construction problems. For further information on this matter reference should be made to 'Guidelines for the Provision of Geotechnical Information in Construction Contracts' published by the Institution of Engineers Australia, National Headquarters, Canberra 1987.

## 6.8 GEOTECHNICAL INVOLVEMENT DURING CONSTRUCTION

During construction, excavation is frequently undertaken which exposes the actual subsurface conditions. For this reason geotechnical consultants should be retained through the construction stage, to identify variations if they are exposed and to conduct additional tests which may be required and to deal quickly with geotechnical problems if they arise.

## 7 REFERENCES

- Soil Landscapes Series Sydney 1:100 000 Map Sheet (9130), 1989, NSW Office of Environment and Heritage
- The Geological Sheet for Sydney 1:100 000 Sheet (9130), NSW Department of Industry Resources & Energy http://www.resourcesandenergy.nsw.gov.au. Accessed 05/01/2017
- National Acid Sulfate Soils Atlas and CSIRO ASRIS GIS tool: <u>http://www.asris.csiro.au</u>
- PS109581 CLM REP 100, Detailed Site Investigation and Remediation Report, WSP, 2018

# **APPENDIX A** SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN





# **APPENDIX B** GEOLOGICAL MAP







# APPENDIX C BOREHOLE LOGS



# Explanatory Notes — Soil Description

In engineering terms soil includes every type of uncemented or partially cemented inorganic material found in the ground. In practice, if the material can be remoulded by hand in its field condition or in water it is described as a soil. The dominant soil constituent is given in capital letters, with secondary textures in lower case. The dominant feature is assessed from the Unified Soil Classification system and a soil symbol is used to define a soil layer as follows:

#### UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION

The appropriate symbols are selected on the result of visual examination, field tests and available laboratory tests, such as, sieve analysis, liquid limit and plasticity index.

USC Symbol	Description
GW	Well graded gravel
GP	Poorly graded gravel
GM	Silty gravel
GC	Clayey gravel
SW	Well graded sand
SP	Poorly graded sand
SM	Silty sand
SC	Clayey sand
ML	Silt of low plasticity
CL	Clay of low plasticity
OL	Organic soil of low plasticity
MH	Silt of high plasticity
СН	Clay of high plasticity
OH	Organic soil of high plasticity
Pt	Peaty Soil

#### **MOISTURE CONDITION**

- Dry Cohesive soils are friable or powdery Cohesionless soil grains are free-running
- Moist Soil feels cool, darkened in colour Cohesive soils can be moulded Cohesionless soil grains tend to adhere
- Wet Cohesive soils usually weakened Free water forms on hands when handling

For cohesive soils the following codes may also be used:

- MC>PL Moisture Content greater than the Plastic Limit.
- MC~PL Moisture Content near the Plastic Limit.
- MC<PL Moisture Content less than the Plastic Limit.

#### PLASTICITY



The potential for soil to undergo change in volume with moisture change is assessed from its degree of plasticity. The classification of the degree of plasticity in terms of the Liquid Limit (LL) is as follows:

Description of Plasticity	LL (%)
Low	<35
Medium or Intermediate	35 to 50
High	>50

#### **COHESIVE SOILS - CONSISTENCY**

The consistency of a cohesive soil is defined by descriptive terminology such as very soft, soft, firm, stiff, very stiff and hard. These terms are assessed by the shear strength of the soil as observed visually, by the pocket penetrometer values and by resistance to deformation to hand moulding.

A Pocket Penetrometer may be used in the field or the laboratory to provide approximate assessment of unconfined compressive strength of cohesive soils. The values are recorded in kPa, as follows:

Strength	Symbol	Pocket Penetrometer Reading (kPa)
Very Soft	VS	< 25
Soft	S	20 to 50
Firm	F	50 to 100
Stiff	St	100 to 200
Very Stiff	VSt	200 to 400
Hard	Н	> 400

#### **COHESIONLESS SOILS - RELATIVE DENSITY**

Relative density terms such as very loose, loose, medium, dense and very dense are used to describe silty and sandy material, and these are usually based on resistance to drilling penetration or the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' values. Other condition terms, such as friable, powdery or crumbly may also be used.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) is carried out in accordance with AS 1289, 6.3.1. For completed tests the number of blows required to drive the split spoon sampler 300 mm is recorded as the N value. For incomplete tests the number of blows and the penetration beyond the seating depth of 150 mm are recorded. If the 150 mm seating penetration is not achieved the number of blows to achieve the measured penetration is recorded. SPT correlations may be subject to corrections for overburden pressure and equipment type.

Symbol	Density Index	N Value (blows /0.3 m)	DCPT (blows /100m
VL	0 to 15	0 to 4	0 to 1
L	15 to 35	4 to 10	1 to 2
MD	35 to 65	10 to 30	2 to 5
D	65 to 85	30 to 50	5 to 10
VD	>85	>50	>10
	VL L MD D	Symbol         Index           VL         0 to 15           L         15 to 35           MD         35 to 65           D         65 to 85	Symbol         Density Index         (blows /0.3 m)           VL         0 to 15         0 to 4           L         15 to 35         4 to 10           MD         35 to 65         10 to 30           D         65 to 85         30 to 50

#### **COHESIONLESS SOILS - PARTICLE SIZE TERMS**

Name	Subdivision	Size
Boulders		>200mm
Cobbles		63mm to 200mm
	coarse	20mm to 63mm
Gravel	medium	6mm to 20mm
	fine	2.36mm to 6mm
	coarse	600mm to 2.36mm
Sand	medium	200mm to 600mm
	fine	75mm to 200mm

# vsp

### **Explanatory Notes — Rock Description**

The rock is described with strength and weathering symbols as shown below. Other features such as bedding and dip angle are given.

#### **ROCK QUALITY**

The fracture spacing is shown where applicable and the Rock Quality Designation (RQD) where:

RQD (%) =	Sum of Axial lengths of core > 100mm long
r(g) =	total length considered

#### **ROCK STRENGTH**

Rock strength is described using AS1726 & ISRM - Commission on Standardisation of Laboratory & Field Tests, "Suggested method of determining the Uniaxial Compressive Strength of Rock materials & the Point Load Index", as follows:

Term	Symbol	Point Load Index Is <sub>(50)</sub> (MPa)
Extremely Low	EL	<0.03
Very Low	VL	0.03 to 0.1
Low	L	0.1 to 0.3
Medium	М	0.3 to 1
High	Н	1 to 3
Very High	VH	3 to 10
Extremely High	EH	>10



Diametral Point Load Index test

Axial Point Load Index test

#### **ROCK MATERIAL WEATHERING**

Rock weathering is described using the following abbreviations and definitions used in AS1726.

Term	Symbol	Definition					
Residual soil	RS	Soil developed on extremely weathered rock, the mass structure & substance fabric are no longer evident; there is a large change in volume but the soil has not been significantly transported.					
Extremely weathered	EW	Rock is weathered to such an extent that it has 'soil' properties, ie: it either disintegrates or can be remoulded in water, but the texture of original rock is evident.					
Highly weather	HW	Rock substance affected by weathering to the extent that limonite staining or bleaching affects the whole of the rock substance and other signs of chemical or physical decomposition are evident.					
Distinctly weathered	DW	Rock strength usually changed by weathering. The rock may be highly discoloured, usually ironstaining. Porosity may be increased by leaching, or may be decreased due to deposition of weathering products in pores.					
Moderately weathered	MW	Rock substance affected by weathering to the extent that staining extends throughout the whole of the rock substance and the original colour of the fresh rock is no longer recognisable					
Slightly weathered	SW	Rock is slightly discoloured but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.					
Fresh	FR	Rock shows no sign of decomposition or staining.					

If differentiation between highly and moderately weathered rock can not be determined, then distinctly weathered is used which complies to terms described in Australian Standard AS1726.

#### DEFECT SPACING/BEDDING THICKNESS

Measured at right angles to defects of same set or bedding.

Term	Defect Spacing	Bedding
Extremely closely spaced	<6 mm 6 to 20 mm	Thinly Laminated Laminated
Very closely spaced	20 to 60 mm	Very Thin
Closely spaced	0.06 to 0.2 m	Thin
Moderately widely spaced	0.2 to 0.6 m	Medium
Widely spaced	0.6 to 2 m	Thick
Verv widely spaced	>2 m	Verv Thick

#### **DEFECT DESCRIPTION**

Symbol	Term
Bg	Bedding
Pt	Parting
F	Fault
С	Cleavage
Jt	Joint
SZ	Shear Zone
Se	Seam
Vn	Vein
DB	Drill Break

#### PLANARITY/ROUGHNESS

Planarity	Description
PI	Planar
Un	Undulating
lr	Irregular
St	Stepped
Roughness	Description
Sm	Smooth
Ro	Rough
Ro Po	Rough Polished

The inclination if defects are measured from the perpendicular to the core axis.

#### DRILLING/EXCAVATION METHODS

Symbol	Term
AS	Auger Screwing
BH	Backhoe
СТ	Cable Tool Rig
DT	Diatube
EE	Existing Excavation/Cutting
EX	Excavator
HA	Hand Auger
JET	Jetting
NMCL	Diamond Core
NQ/HQ/PQ	Diamond Core – wireline
PC	Percussion
PT	Push Tube
RAB	Rotary Air Blast
RC	Reverse Circulation
S	Sonic drill
VB	Vibrocoring
WB	Washbore with blade
WR	Washbore with roller (tricone)

#### NFGWE No Free Groundwater Encountered

The observation of groundwater, whether present or not, was not possible due to drilling water, surface seepage or cave in of the borehole/test pit.

#### WATER SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS



Water level at date shown

< Partial water loss  $\ > \$  Water inflow

Complete water loss



#### Graphic Symbols for Soils & Rocks

Typical symbols for soils and rocks are as follows. Combinations of these symbols may be used to indicate mixed materials such as clayey sand.



*NFGWE No Free Groundwater Encountered:* The borehole/test pit was dry soon after excavation; however groundwater could be present in less permeable strata. Inflow may have been observed had the borehole/test pit been left open for a longer period.

These tables are an extract from LANDSLIDE RISK MANAGEMENT CONCEPTS AND GUIDELINES as presented in Australian Geomechanics, Vol. 35, No. 1, 2000 which discusses the matter more fully.



## BOREHOLE ENGINEERING LOG

BOREHOLE NO.

## BH35

SHEET : 1 OF 3

Client: Project: Borehole Locat Project Numbe											te Comn te Comp corded E g Checke	eted: 20/7/18 y: GW			
Drill Model/Mo Borehole Diam	0	Comacc 75/120/3			5/ Track	Hole Angle: Bearing:	-90° 	Surfac Co-ord			4 m AHD E 322702.1 N 6252831.4 MGA94 56				
	le Inform					g.	Field Mate	-							
A METHOD METHOD III SUPPORT - - - - - - - - - - - - -	(a)     (a)       0.10     -       0.25     -       0.40     -       3.5     0.5       -     -       3.0     1.0       -     -       2.5     1.5	FIELD TEST	D SAMPLE	Q Ω     Q Ω       Q Ω     GRAPHIC LOG	CONCRE graded, ss steel reinf CONCRE graded, su FILL: Clay poorly gra Silty CLA	L/ROCK MATERIAL FIEL TE; light grey, max ag ub-angular to angular, v orcement at 45mm. TE; light grey, max ag ub-angular to angular, wit rey GRAVEL; fine to coar ded, angular, low plastici to medium to high plast to medium grained grave	D DESCRIPTIO gregate 25mm, with minor voids gregate 20mm, h minor voids. 'se grained, dark ty clay.	poorly s, 5mm poorly brown,	STURE		POCKET PENETROMETER (KPa)	STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS PAVEMENT MATERIAL FILL RESIDUAL SOIL			
AS	- 2.0 2.0 - - 2.20 - - - 1.5 2.5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SPT 0, 1, 11 N=12	SPT	CH	loose	nm band of black, ashy /; high plasticity, brown, t			MC>PL		=200 - =250 =75	Potentially unreliable SPT values due to push tube			
			D		moderatel	dark brown, inferred y weathered, inferred ver ligh strength.						WEATHERED ROCK			
	- - - 0.5 4.5 - - - -				REFER TO	D CORED BOREHOLE L	OG								

# vsp

## CORED BOREHOLE ENGINEERING LOG

BOREHOLE NO.

## **BH35**

																S	HEET: 2 OF
Client:Eloura HoldProject:176-184 GedBorehole Location:Inside wareProject Number:PS109581						76-18 nside	4 Geo wareh	rge Street						Da Re	ate Comm ate Compl corded B g Checke	leted: sy:	20/7/18 20/7/18 GW DW
Drill Bore				-			chio ( /300 m	Geo 205/ Track	Hole Angle:	-90° 		Surface F Co-ords:	RL:		1 AHD	N 6252924	4 MGA94 56
					ormat		/300 II		Bearing:		Mate	erial Desc	iptio		22702.1	N 6252651.	4 WGA94 50
METHOD	SUPPORT	WATER	TOTAL CORE RECOVERY (TCR)	RQD	RL (m AHD)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	SOIL/ROCK MATE	RIAL FIELD DESCRIPT	ION	WEATHERING	INFERRED STRENGTH MPa (ls <sub>(50</sub> )/UCS) <sup>00/10</sup> <sup>100</sup> <sup>100</sup> <sup>100</sup> <sup>100</sup> <sup>100</sup>	AVER DEFI SPAC (mi	CING m)	ST	RUCTURE AND OBSERVA	ADDITIONAL NONS
					- - - 3.5 - - - - - 3.0												
to consider a page to be					- - - 2.5 - - - - - - -	- - 1.5 - - - - - - - - - - - 2.0 -											
HMLC					- - - 1.5 - -	- - - 2.5 - -											
					- 1.0 - -	 3.0 											
					- 0.5 - - -	- 3.5 - - - -											
HMLC	С				- 0.0 - -	4.0 - <del>4.20 -</del> -		START CORING AT 4 SHALE; fine grained, bedding 0-5deg, iron s	dark grey and brown, la	iyered,	MW to HW				— 4.22m: E	3g, 0 - 5°, Pl, Sm, S	Sn Fe
		(III)	100	0	- 0.5 - - -	- - 4.5 - - -									- 4.55m: C	Se 20mm It, 85°, Ir, Sn Cl 2Z, x4, 10mm, 20n It x3, 45°, Pl, Ro, Ir	
							This B	orehole log should be	e read in conjunction	with WS	SP's a	accompanyi	ng expl	lanato	ory notes.		

# vsp

## CORED BOREHOLE ENGINEERING LOG

BOREHOLE NO.

## **BH35**

Project: 176-1 Borehole Location: Inside					17 In	176-184 George Street Inside warehouse, central area, near eastern wall PS109581							ate Commenced:20/7/18ate Completed:20/7/18ecorded By:GWog Checked By:DW				
						n AHD 322702.1 N 6252831.4 MGA94 56											
Borehole Information						on			Field M	late	rial Descri	iption	1				
MEIHOU	O SUPPORT	WATER	TOTAL CORE RECOVERY (TCR)	RQD	RL (m AHD)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL FIELD DESCRI		-	INFERRED STRENGTH MPa (Is <sub>(8)</sub> /UCS) <sup>00/5HA</sup> (Is <sub>(8)</sub> /UCS) <sup>00/5HA</sup>	AVERAGE DEFECT SPACING (mm) 0007-000 0007-000 0007-000 0007-000 0007-000	STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS				
	0		100	0	-	-		SHALE; fine grained, dark grey and brown bedding 0-5deg, iron staining throughout as above, with 5deg bedding	t	IW io iW			— 5m: Jt x4, 0°, PI, Sm, Sn Fe — 5.18m: Se — 5.3m: CZ 20mm				
				- 1.5 -	- 5.5 — -			M	IW			- 5.42m: CZ 10mm - 5.5m: Bg x7, 0 - 5°, Ir, Ro, Sn Fe - 5.63m: CZ 20mm					
		26/07/181			- - 2.0	- - 6.0 —		as above, less laminated	S	w			- 5.75m: CZ 5mm - 5.8m: Bg x3, 0 - 5°, Pl, Sm, Cln - 5.95m: SZ, 0 - 10°				
			100	40	-												
							6.5 — - -		as above, brown laminae becoming light g	· _	R						
				- - 	- - 7.0 -							7.02m: Jt, 50°, Pl, Sm, Cln					
		(III)	(Ni)	(Ni)	(III)	(Nil)			- 	- - 7.5 - -							
							- - 4.0 -	- - 8.0 -									
					100	66	- - 4.5 -	- - 8.5 — -		as above, 90% siltstone, laminations less v	risible				— 8.55m: CZ 5mm		
				- - 5.0 -	- - 9.0 -												
					- - 5.5 -	- - 9.5 — -							— 9m: DB x5				
					-	-											



PointID : BH35 Depth Range: 4.20 - 9.00 m

	GW	DATE 27/07/2018	
Eloura Holdings Concord West	CHECKED	DATE 27/07/2018	
176-184 George Street	SCALE Not To S	cale	A4
Core Photo - BH35	PROJECT № PS109581	FIGURE No 1/2	



PointID : BH35 Depth Range: 9.00 - 10.00 m

	GW	DATE 27/07/2018	
Concord West	DW	DATE 27/07/2018	
176-184 George Street	SCALE Not To S	Scale A	
Core Photo - BH35	PROJECT № PS109581	FIGURE No 2/2	



## BOREHOLE ENGINEERING LOG

BOREHOLE NO.

## BH44

SHEET: 1 OF 3

lient: rojec oreho rojec	t: ble Lo	ocation	:	Eloura 176-18 Inside PS109	4 Ge war	eorge	Stre	et orth eastern corner			Da Re	te Comr te Comp corded E g Check	bleted: 20/7/18 By: GW
		Mounti amete	-	Comac 75/120			205	5	Surfac Co-orc			AHD	N 6252928.5 MGA94 56
orene			nform					Field Mater				22704.1	
ME I HOU SI I PPORT	WATER	RL (m AHD)	DEPTH (m)	FIELD TEST	SAMPLE	GRAPHIC LOG	GROUP SYMBOL	SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL FIELD DESCRIPTION		l ≌2		0 7 0	STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS
IA		- 	0.12		D			CONCRETE; brown-grey, max aggregate 20mm, graded, sub-rounded to sub-angular, with minor 5mm reinforcement at 45mm. CONCRETE; light grey, max aggregate 20mm, graded, sub-rounded. CONCRETE; dark grey, max aggregate 15mm, graded, sub-rounded to sub-angular, 5mm reinforc at 240mm. FILL: Gravelly CLAY; low plasticity, dark brown, of grained, angular gravel.	voids, poorly poorly ement coarse	MC=PL			PAVEMENT MATERIAL
S		- 2.5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1.5 - - 2.0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SPT 3,4,6 N=10	SPT			FILL: Sandy CLAY; high plasticity, light brown, fine g sand, with fine grained gravel. 2.00m: Becoming dark brown	rained	MC <pl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>			
		-	3.0(3.0	SPT 2, 1, 1 N=2	SPT		CI	Silty CLAY; medium plasticity, dark brown and orang		MC=PL		=60 - =70	ALLUVIAL SOIL
	28/47/18	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	4.0 - 4.5 - 4.70	SPT 15, 30, 3/50mm N=R	D		CH	Silty CLAY; high plasticity, dark brown, trace f medium grained gravel. SHALE; grey brown, inferred highly weathern moderately weathered, inferred very low to m strength	ed to			=150 - =300	RESIDUAL SOIL



## BOREHOLE ENGINEERING LOG

BOREHOLE NO.

## BH44

Client: Froject: 176-184 George Str Inside warehouse, i Project Number: PS109581 Drill Model/Mounting: Borehole Diameter: Comacchio Geo 202 75/120/300 mm	North eastern corner         15/ Track       Hole Angle: -90°       Surface RL:         Bearing:        Co-ords:         Field Material Description         SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL FIELD DESCRIPTION	Date Commenced: 19/7/18 Date Completed: 20/7/18 Recorded By: GW Log Checked By: DW 4 m AHD E 322704.1 N 6252928.5 MGA94 56 on ATIVE STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS COM COM COM COM COM COM COM COM
Drill Model/Mounting:       Comacchio Geo 201 75/120/300 mm         Borehole Diameter:       Sorehole Information         Image: Sorehole Information       Image: Sorehole Information         Image: Sorehole Information	Bearing: Co-ords: Field Material Description	4 m AHD E 322704.1 N 6252928.5 MGA94 56
Bore-ble Information           Image: Second and the second and t	SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL FIELD DESCRIPTION	ATIVE NSITY/ INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY INSITENCY IN
Image: Construction of the second s	SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL FIELD DESCRIPTION	LATIVE LINE AND INSTRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL ADDITIONAL ADDITIONAL ADDITIONAL ADDITIONAL ADDITIONAL ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDITIONS ADDI
AS NII	SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL FIELD DESCRIPTION	WEATHERED ROCK
	REFER TO CORED BOREHOLE LOG	
	REFER TO CORED BOREHOLE LOG	
This Borehole		
# vsp

## CORED BOREHOLE ENGINEERING LOG

BOREHOLE NO.

## BH44

SHEET : 3 OF 3

lient: roject: orehole Location: roject Number: rill Model/Mounting:			n:	Eloura Holdings 176-184 George Street Inside warehouse, north eastern corner PS109581 Comacchio Geo 205/ Track Hole Angle: -90° Surface RL:					D: Ri	Date Commenced:19/7/18Date Completed:20/7/18Recorded By:GWLog Checked By:DW						
)rill Mo loreho			-			chio Geo /300 mm	205/ Track	Hole Angle: Bearing:	-90° 	•	Surface R Co-ords:		n AHD 322704 1	N 625292	8.5 MGA94 56	
				ormati				g.	Field	Mate	erial Descr					
METHOD SUPPORT	WATER	TOTAL CORE RECOVERY (TCR)	RQD	RL (m AHD)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	OIL/ROCK MATEI	RIAL FIELD DESCRIPT	ION	WEATHERING	INFERRED STRENGTH MPa (Is <sub>00</sub> /UCS) (Is <sub>00</sub> /UCS) (Is <sub>00</sub> /UCS) (Is <sub>00</sub> /UCS)	AVERAGE DEFECT SPACING (mm) 0007-009 0007-009 0007-009 0007-009	ST	RUCTURE AN OBSERV	d additional Ations	
D HMLC		100	9	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 2.0		— — - SH/	RT CORING AT 5	% black and 40% dark	brown	MW				Se, In Cl It, 40°, Pl, Ro, C SZ, 50°, St Se, In Cl		
			100		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 3.0			above, brown lai inations becoming	ninae becoming ligh less dominant, 90% bl	grey, ack	FR			6.2m: C	lt, 85°, Ir, Ro, Cl Z 3g, 0°, Pl, Sm, Sı	n Fe
	(Nil)	100			100	- - 	- - 7.5 — - -									
	(III)			4.0   -	8.0 — - - -											
				4.5 - - - - 5.0 - -	8.5 - - 9.0 - -								8m: DB	x2		
		100	100	-   - - -	-  9.5  - - -								]— 9.5m: DI	В		



	GW	30/07/2018	
Eloura Holdings Concord West	CHECKED	DATE 30/07/2018	
176-184 George Street	SCALE Not To S	cale	A4
Core Photo - BH44	PROJECT № PS109581	FIGURE No 1/1	



## BOREHOLE ENGINEERING LOG

BOREHOLE NO.

## BH30

													SHEET : 1 OF 3
	ect: ehole	e Loo Num	cation	:	Eloura 176-18 Car Pa PS109	4 Ge rk			et		Date Recor	Comp rded E	
			lounti	na:	Comac		Geo	205	/ Track Hole Angle: -90° Surface RI	RL:	-		
			mete	-	75/120				Bearing: Co-ords:				N 6252761 MGA94 56
	B	Bore	nole I	nform	ation				Field Material Descr	rip	otion		
METHOD	SUPPORT	WATER	RL (m AHD)	DEPTH (m)	FIELD TEST	SAMPLE	GRAPHIC LOG	GROUP SYMBOL		E B	RELATIVE DENSITY/ CONSISTENCY LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS	PENETROMETER (kPa)	STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS
DT HA	Nil		-	0.14	-				CONCRETE; brown grey, max aggregate 25mm, poorly graded, sub-rounded to angular, trace minor voids, 5mm reinforcement at 45mm.				PAVEMENT MATERIAL
НА			- - 3.5 -	- 0.30 - - 0.5 - -		D			FILL: Clayey SAND; fine grained, dark brown, low plasticity, trace fine grained gravel. FILL: Gravelly SAND; fine to medium grained, dark brown, medium to coarse grained, sub rounded to sub angular, poorly graded gravel.	'			FILL -
			- 3.0 - -	- 0.90		D			FILL: Gravelly SAND; fine grained, light yellow, coarse grained, well graded, sub rounded gravel.				0.8m: Possible piece(s) suspect Asbestos/ACM encountered
AS			- 2.5 - - -	- 1.5 - - 1.70 -	SPT 5, 10, 6 N=16	SPT			FILL: Gravelly Clayey SAND; fine to medium grained, variable colour, black-brown/white, fine grained, angular gravel, low plasticity clay, trace plastics and glass.	_			1.7m: Possible ashy material observed
			- 2.0 - - - - 1.5 -	2.0 - - 2.5 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								-
			- - 1.0 -	- 3.0 3.10	SPT 9, 15, 19	SPT			SHALE; grey, laminated, inferred highly weathered to moderately weathered, inferred strength very low to medium, some high strength fragments		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	>600	- WEATHERED ROCK
0			- 0.5 - -	- - - - -	N=34								-
			- 0.0 - -	- 4.0 - -									
			- 0.5 - -	- 4.5 - - -	-	D							-
				-									
						This	Boreh	ole lo	g should be read in conjunction with WSP's accompanying	ng	explanatory	notes.	



## BOREHOLE ENGINEERING LOG

BOREHOLE NO.

# BH30

SHEET: 2 OF 3

Client: Project: Borehole Location: Project Number:				176-18 Car Pa	Eloura Holdings 176-184 George Street Car Park PS109581 Comacchio Geo 205/ Track Hole Angle: -90° Surface RL:							Da Re	te Com te Com corded g Checł	By:	d: 19/7/18 GW			
			lountii meter		Coma 75/120			205	Track	Hole Angle: Bearing:	-90° 	Surfac Co-orc		:	4 m AHD E 322670		N 6252761 MGA94 56	
					ation	J/300				Dearning.		aterial De		ptior		22070	N 025270	1 1110A94 50
METHOD	SUPPORT	WATER	RL (m AHD)	DEPTH (m)	FIELD TEST	SAMPLE	GRAPHIC LOG	GROUP SYMBOL	SOIL	/ROCK MATERIAL F	IELD DESCRIPT	īon	MOISTURE			POCKET PENETROMETER (kPa)		TRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL DBSERVATIONS
۹S	Nil	26/07/181	-		-				REFER TO	CORED BOREHOLE	LOG						WEATHER	
		26/	- - 1.5 -	- 5.5 -														
		-	-  2.0 -	- 6.0 - 6.0														
			- - 2.5 -	- 6.5 - -	-													
		-	- - 3.0 -	- 7.0 -	-													
			- - 3.5 -	- 7.5 -	-													
		- - -	- - 4.0 -	- - 8.0 -	-													
		-	- - 4.5 -	- 8.5 - -	-													
		-	- - 5.0 -	- 9.0 -														
			- - 5.5 -	- 9.5 –	-													
			-	-	- -													

# wsp

## CORED BOREHOLE ENGINEERING LOG

BOREHOLE NO.

## **BH30**

ore	ect: ehole	e Loo Num	catio ber:	n:	1 C		rk	ngs rge Street					Da Re	ate Commen ate Complete ecorded By: og Checked E	ed: <b>19/7/18</b> GW
			loun met	-			chio ( /300 m	Geo 205/ Track	Hole Angle: Bearing:	-90°		Surface RL Co-ords:		n AHD 22670 N 6	252761 MGA94 56
					ormati				Dearing.		Mate	erial Descri		22070 110	202701 110404 00
MEIHUU	SUPPORT	WATER	TOTAL CORE RECOVERY (TCR)	RQD	RL (m AHD)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	SOIL/ROCK MATE	RIAL FIELD DESCRIP	FION	WEATHERING	SIRENGIN	AVERAGE DEFECT SPACING (mm) 007-00 007-00 007-00 007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 0007-00 00 0007-00 0007-00 00 0007-00 00 0007-00 00 0007-00 00 0007-00 00 0000 0007-00 00 0007-00 00 0007-00 00 0007-00 00 0007-00 00 00 0007-00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	STRUC	CTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS
-	0,	_							40		_				
	С				- - - 1.5	<del>5.16</del> - - 5.5 -		START CORING AT 5. SHALE; laminated da (30%), bedding 0-5deg	rk grey (70%) and lig	ht grey	FR				- 5°, Pl, Sm, Sn Fe 6
					-	-								5.82m: Bg, 0	- 5°, Pl, Sm, Cln
		100	100		6.0 <del>-</del> - -										
					2.5 - - -	6.5 — - -								— 6m: DB x 7	
					- 3.0 - -	- 7.0 - -									
		(Nil)			- 3.5 - -	-   -									
					- 4.0 - -	- 8.0 — -									- 5°, PI, Sm, Cln
			100	100	- 4.5 - -	- 8.5 — -								— 8m: DB x 5	
					- - 5.0 -	- - 9.0 -		8.80m: black laminae 10% light grey.	more dominant, 90%	black,					
				- - 5.5 -	- - 9.5 -								— 9m: DB x 3		
					-	-									



	GW	DATE 30/07/2018	
Eloura Holdings Concord West	CHECKED	DATE 30/07/2018	
176-184 George Street	SCALE Not To S	Scale	A4
Core Photo - BH30	PROJECT № PS109581	FIGURE No 1/1	

# **APPENDIX D** GEOTECHNICAL LONG SECTION







5		
Project	File No	
176-184 GEORGE STREET CONCORD WEST SUB SURFACE SECTION	Drawing No	Rev

# APPENDIX E LABORATORY RESULTS





#### **Test Report**

Customer:WSP Australia Pty LimitedProject:PS109581Location:176-184 George Street, Concord West

Job number: 18-0104 Report number: 1

Page: 1 of 1

#### **Moisture Content**

Sampling method: Samples tested as received

Test method(s): AS 1289.1.1, 2.1.1

			Results	
Laboratory sample no.	15821	15822	15824	
Customer sample no.	BH44 3.0-3.45m	BH44 3.5-4.0m	BH35 1.0-1.8m	
Date sampled	19/07/2018	19/07/2018	20/07/2018	
Material description	silty CLAY, trace of sand and gravel, dark brown/grey/ pale grey	silty CLAY, trace of gravel, brown		
Moisture content (%)	27.3	19.3	27.9	

Laboratory sample no.			
Customer sample no.			
Date sampled			
Material description			
Moisture content (%)			

**Approved Signatory:** 

Elatotanal.

E. Maldonado

Date: 13/08/2018





#### **Test Report**

Job number: 18-0104

Customer: WSP Australia Pty Limited Project: PS109581

Location: 176-184 George Street, Concord West

#### Report number: 2

Page: 1 of 1

#### **Soil Index Properties**

Sampling method: Samples tested as received

Test method(s): AS 1289.1.1, 2.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.2.1, 3.3.1 .3.4.1

			Results	
Laboratory sample no.	15821	15822	15824	
Customer sample no.	BH44 3.0-3.45m	BH44 3.5-4.0m	BH35 1.0-1.8m	
Date sampled	19/07/2018	19/07/2018	20/07/2018	
Material description	silty CLAY, trace of sand and gravel, dark brown/grey/ pale grey	silty CLAY, trace of gravel, brown	silty CLAY, trace of gravel, dark grey/pale grey/ red	
Liquid limit (%)	48	50	59	
Plastic limit (%)	20	19	22	
Plasticity index (%)	28	31	37	
Linear shrinkage (%)	13.0	12.5	15.0	
Cracking / Curling / Crumbling	-	Curling	Cracking and curling	
Sample history	Air dried	Air dried	Air dried	
Preparation	Dry sieved	Dry sieved	Dry sieved	

**Approved Signatory:** 

Elatotana E. Maldonado

Date: 13/08/2018



Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.

resource Laboratories AGGREGATE, ROCK, AND SOIL TESTING

ABN: 25 131 532 020 Sydney: 12/1 Boden Road Seven Hills NSW 2147 | PO Box 45 Pendle Hill NSW 2145 Ph: (02) 9674 7711 | Fax: (02) 9674 7755 | Email: info@resourcelab.com.au

## **Test Report**

Customer: WSP Australia Pty Limited PS109581 Project:

176-184 George Street, Concord West Location:

## **Soil Aggressivity**

Sampling method: Samples tested as received Test method(s): AS 1289.1.1, EA002, ED040S

ED045G

Job number: 18-0104

Page: 1 of 1

Report number: 3

			Results	
Laboratory sample no.	15819	15821	15824	
Customer sample no.	BH30 4.5-5.0m	BH44 3.0-3.45m	BH35 1.0-1.8m	
Date sampled	19/07/2018	19/07/2018	20/07/2018	
Material description	silty CLAY, trace of sand and gravel, brown	silty CLAY, trace of sand and gravel, dark brown/grey/ pale grey	silty CLAY, trace of gravel, dark grey/pale grey/ red	
рН	8.7	5.2	5.8	
Electrical conductivity (µS/cm)	194	174	64	
Sulfate as SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (mg/kg)	110	180	60	
Chloride (mg/kg)	310	160	<50	
Notes: Tested by Australian Laboratory S ED045G: Chloride by Discrete Analyser,			825: EA002: pH, ED040	S: Soluble Sulfate as S04 2-,

Approved By: Elatotana E. Maldonado

Date: 13/08/2018



#### **Test Report**

Customer: WSP Australia Pty Limited

PS109581

Project:

Job number: 18-0104

Report number: 4

176-184 George Street, Concord West Location:

#### Page: 1 of 3

## **Uniaxial Compressive Strength of Rock Core**

Sampling method: Samples tested as received

Test method(s): AS 4133.1.1.1, 4.2.2

		Res	ults	
Laboratory sample no.	15820	15823	15825	
Customer sample no.	BH30 8.65-8.94m	BH44 6.65-6.96m	BH35 9.0-9.23m	
Sample depth	8.65-8.94m	6.65-6.96m	9.0-9.23m	
Date sampled	19/07/2018	19/07/2018	20/07/2018	
Date tested	10/08/2018	10/08/2018	10/08/2018	
Lithological description	SHALE	SHALE	SHALE	
Storage history, curing and environment	Sealed, moist, moisture condition as received	Sealed, moist, moisture condition as received	Sealed, moist, moisture condition as received	
Type of test machine used	Matest 1500kN - Grade A	Matest 1500kN - Grade A	Matest 1500kN - Grade A	
Description of failure	Mixed mode	Mixed mode	Mixed mode	
Specimen average length (mm)	133.5	153.7	115.5	
Specimen average diameter (mm)	51.8	51.9	51.6	
Moisture content at time of test (%)	1.8	1.9	2.8	
Test duration (mins)	19	13	9	
Rate of displacement (mm/min)	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Uniaxial Compressive Strength (MPa)	47	40	27	

Notes: 15825 - Length to diameter ratio less than 2.5.

Approved Signatory: Eladonado

Date: 13/08/2018





#### **Test Report**

Job number: 18-0104

Customer: WSP Australia Pty Limited Project: PS109581

Location: 176-184 George Street, Concord West

Report number: 4 Page: 2 of 3

### **Uniaxial Compressive Strength of Rock Core**

#### Specimen prior to testing



Laboratory sample no.	15823
	BH44
Customer sample no.	6.65-6.96m

#### Specimen after failure







#### **Test Report**

Job number: 18-0104

Customer: WSP Australia Pty Limited Project: PS109581

Location: 176-184 George Street, Concord West

Report number: 4 Page: 3 of 3

### **Uniaxial Compressive Strength of Rock Core**

#### Specimen prior to testing

Laboratory sample no.	15825
Customer sample no.	BH35 9.0-9.23m

### Specimen after failure



_aboratory sample no.	
Customer sample no.	

Customer sample no.